

PRESERVING CHATHAM COUNTY'S RURAL AESTHETIC

According to Chatham County resident polling:

Maintaining rural character ranked <u>#1</u> as we develop our area

Trees are a major component of any rural landscape

Our current rules for development do little to protect existing tree cover.



There is enormous pressure to build along our county corridors

Our rules for development need to be changed to keep more of our existing tree cover

And preserve our rural character

Appearance Commission members have determined the best way to protect our corridors:

> A Visual Mapping of Existing Trees

Why Aerial Mapping?

With this terrific tool we can show developers examples of good buffer practices

As well as undesirable buffer practices

Excessive Tree Removal Causes Unwanted

Expensive Problemst

- Flooding
- Polluted—Sediment filled water (much more expensive to treat)
- Diminished Wind Protection
- Reduced Carbon
 Sequestration
- Lack of Noise Reduction

Advantages of Ensuring Heavy Tree Cover:

Improved Marketability **Greater Noise Buffering Higher Carbon Sequestration Reduced Flooding Optimal Wind Protection**

With aerial images, we can determine the best Site Specific

buffer requirements

Potential development sites have a variety of existing tree cover:

Some properties, with younger, less varied, varietal planting will need to maintain deeper buffers to create opaqueness

Others with more established and varied planting could require less depth in buffering We propose to divide this aerial imaging process into phases:

- Phase One 15/501 from Pittsboro to Orange Co.
- Phase Two Hwy 64 from 15/501 to Wake Co.
- **Phase Three** Hwy 64 from 15/501 to Siler City
- Phase Four 15/501 from Pittsboro to Sanford
- Subsequent Phases to be determined

Can we count on you, our Commissioners, to support and fund our efforts to protect Chatham County as it develops?

 Stormwater is a leading cause of water pollution. This runoff can kill aquatic life, and make our waterways an unhealthy place to live, work, and play. One of the fundamental ways to minimize runoff is to retain existing tree cover Polluted waters and sediment filled water are more expensive to treat

* A tree can absorb as much as 48 pounds of carbon dioxide per year and can sequester 1 ton of carbon dioxide by the time it reaches 40 years old.

Some of the most effective trees for carbon sequestration are:

Pine (Ponderosa, red, white and Hispaniolan pines) Oak (Scarlet, Red and Virginia Live Oak) Douglas fir Bald Cypress Common Horse-chestnut Black Walnut London Plane American Sweetgum

The insistence that no one out there knows how to solve problems of the rural economy is a false and misleading one. There are decades of research that have identified paths forward that rural communities are already following and flourishing on.

While rural regions may not be swimming in investment capital, they are awash in local pride and tight-knit communities.

Many research studies have found that connections within a local community (i.e., bridging social capital) are one of the most valuable assets leading rural businesses to success. Place-making, a collaborative process to rethink public spaces to maximize their value for everyone, has become another favorite tactic of rural economic developers.

What's more, there are still some economic sectors where the demand in rural areas is higher than the supply. As the second-largest economic sector providing jobs in rural counties, manufacturing continues to be a great resource for local economies. With recent pushes for "green" and domestic manufacturing, rural manufacturers are facing nationwide shortages of workers. At the same time, a new Gallup poll finds that many urban-dwellers actually want to move to rural areas.

The ability to attract high-tech companies has become a yardstick for measuring the success of a region. There are indeed major barriers to convincing large companies to relocate to rural areas, as Porter notes in his op-ed, such as access to talent and broadband infrastructure. But economic developers and municipalities in rural America aren't stupid, and don't believe that they can compete with supercities to draw in this development. A focus on single industries, such as mining in the upper midwestern United States, already destroyed many rural economies (and their environments) in the 20th century. Progressive rural communities that are thinking about these problems don't see tech as a sole savior.