## EVALUATION MATRIX FOR RISK AND RESILIENCE ASSESSMENT AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN

PHASE 1 - CRITERIA AND THEIR WEIGHTS														PHASE 2 - VENDOR SCORING																				II Dahlasa Faa					
WEIGHT F								Freese & Nichols LaForge & Assoc.			Shield	Shield Eng. HDR			Ganneet Fleming Dewberry			SynTerra Corp.			JMT		IMEG Corp.		JANUS Software		Tetra Tech		AARC Consultants										
	В		C	D	E	F		G	Н	T	J		eight of "1"	RAW			FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL
Δ	Δ		С	Α	F	Α						plus ac	tual points																										
A	3		3	3	3	3						A =	10	3	30	1	10		2 20		3 3	30 2	2 2	20	3 30	) :	2 2	0	2 2	) 1	10	1	10	2	20	2	20	1	
- ''	B		C	В	Ē	В																																	
	В		3	3	3	3			- 11 - 11 - 1			B =	7	2	14	1 2	14		2 14	1	2 1	4 2	2 1	14	2 14	1	2 1	4	2 1	4 2	14	2	14	2	14	2	14	1 2	
			С	C	C	C																																	
			С	3	2	3						C =	15	3	45	5 1	15		1 15	5	3 4	5 3	3 4	15	3 45	5 1	2 3	0	1 1	5 1	15	1	15	2	30	3	4:	1	
				D	E	F																											ļ .	-	-	-			
				D	3	3						D =	1	2	2	2 1	1		1	1	2	2		1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1 1	2	2	1		1 1	
			1,57		E	E																											40		200	-	2	2 1	
					E	3						E =	13	3	39	9 2	26		2 26	3	3 3	39 2	2 2	26	2 26	3	2 2	:6	2 2	6 1	13	1	13	2	20			0	
						F																												2	0	2		2 2	
						F						F=	4	2	8	3 2	! 8		2 8	3	2	8 2	2	8	2 8	3 .	2	8	2	8 2	2	2	-	2	0		-	2	1
								G		-										_		_						_	-		-							_	
								G				G =				-			-	-	_	_						_		_									
								-	Н							-				-	_								-	-									
								L	Н			H =								-					-			_	-	+		-							
										!-	_								+	-		_								-									
												1=				+	-		+	+	_				-				-										
1											J	.1 =				_			-	-		_																	
1											J	Totals			13	R Market State	7/		8	1	13	18 25 27 20	11	14	124	4 made Th	9	9	8	4	61		61	<b>ALC:</b> 1	100		11	4	

Relative weight assigned to each criterion is based on the Committee's comparison of each criterion against all others using the following values.

Examples using a 7- and 8-member committee

1 = Disagreement among committee N/A
2 = Majority of committee in agreement 2-1
3 = Unanimous or almost unanimous agreement 3-0 4-4 5-3 or 6-2 7-1 or 8-0

A point value of one is included as the initial basic weight for all Evaluation Criteria prior to beginning the analysis. In addition, each criterion's total points are added.

Proposers are rated by evaluating each proposal against the RFP requirements using the Evaluation Criteria. Raw score resulting from this evaluation based on the following scale.

1 = Below 2 = Meets 3 = Above

Evaluation Criteria are listed in no particular order. The weighting process will provide relative value.

Evaluation Criteria Actual Criteria are developed by Owner for the specific project to be evaluated.

- A. Specialized or appropriate staff expertise in this type of project.
   B. Ability to perform services in a timely manner.
   C. Previous experience with this type of project.
   D. Previous experience with Chatham Co. Utilities

- E. Understanding the overall needs of the County F. Standard Hourly Rates