

EVALUATION MATRIX FOR CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

Evaluation Weight		PHASE 2 - VENDOR SCORING																							
		Research Triangle Institute (RTI)		AECOM Advisory		onsultants/HIP Inves		Precision Consulting		Blue Strike		Kimley Horn		Energy Resources Integration (ERI)		Ernst & Young (EY)		Lotus Sustainability		Civix Consulting Group		Sustainability Solutions Group			
		RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL		
A =	9	9	81	10	90	11	99	8	72	11	99	9	81	8	72	8	72	10	90	8	72	9	81		
B =	3	9	27	8	24	7.5	22.5	8	24	9	27	8	24	8	24	12	36	9	27	8	24	8	24		
C =	12	9	108	10	120	10	120	7	84	11	132	9	108	8	96	8	96	10	120	7	84	11	132		
D =	4	9	36	9	36	8	32	8	32	10	40	10	40	9	36	9	36	10	40	9	36	10	40		
E =	2	8	16	8	16	8	16	10	20	12	24	8	16	12	24	6.5	13	8	16	8	16	11	22		
F =	15	9	135	8	120	9	135	8	120	10	150	10	150	7	105	7	105	9	135	9	135	8	120		
		0	0	0	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		403		406		424.5		352		472		419		357		358		428		367		419			
2. Proposers are rated by evaluating each proposal against the RFP requirements using the Evaluation Criteria. Raw score resulting from this evaluation based on the following scale.																									

- A. Specialized or appropriate staff expertise.
- B. Ability to perform services in a timely manner.
- C. Previous experience with this type of work.
- D. Previous experience working with local governments
- E. Pricing
- F. Proposal demonstrates clear understanding of the project
- G.