ADVISORY COMMITTEE SUMMARY ANNUAL REPORT

NOTE: Attach current list of members and identify officers.

Environmental Review Ad	lvisory Committee
12/20/2021	
FY 2020-2021 (July 1, 202	0 – June 30, 2021)
Ray Bode	
g Period (including any	8
unity input)	
	12/20/2021 FY 2020-2021 (July 1, 202 Ray Bode g Period (including any

MISSION & GOALS – Summarize concisely the committee's mission (charge), key goals, objectives and measures below. You also may attach as a separate document, but limit to no more than one page single-spaced.

The mission of the Environmental Review Advisory Committee (ERAC) is to:

- Advise the Board of Commissioners on environmental policy and related county ordinances, including the watershed ordinance.
- Conduct special projects, as assigned, to protect the environment.
- Assist County staff with review of required state and federal environmental permits for projects in the county.
- Partner with towns in the county and other interested governmental agencies on mutual concerns related to the environment.

The Environmental Review Advisor	y Committee also serves a	s the Watershe	ed Review Board
----------------------------------	---------------------------	----------------	-----------------

ACCOMPLISHMENTS & ACTIVITIES – Summarize concisely below the committee's major accomplishments and activities of the past year. This should include reports, research, projects, public input, etc. Be sure to identify how they relate to specific goals, objectives or measures. You also may attach as a separate document but limit to no more than one page single-spaced.

ERAC activities during period:

EIA review:

Williams Corner - Withdrawn

WRB:

- Vickers Bennett Group, LLC to amend the language in the Watershed Protection Ordinance, Sections 109, 302 [E] (2), 303 (A), and 303 (C), to accommodate language for Mixed-Use Development and Cluster. – Tabled and ultimately withdrawn
- Moncure Holdings, LLC, and Moncure Holdings West, LLC, to amend Section 302 (E)(2)(b) of the
 Watershed Protection Ordinance to establish an overlay district where the 10/70 rule applies
 in the Cape Fear WS-IV Protected Area watershed district and amend the Watershed
 Protection Map to establish the boundaries of the overlay district. Approved with the
 condition that riparian buffers under the Chatham County Watershed Ordinance be required
 for all properties within the 10/70 option of the Moncure Megasite.

Presentations:

- Clean Water Act, NC, and Chatham 404/401, buffer rules process and permitting. Special considerations – Chatham Park/Pittsboro agreements, Chatham County Rules, Jordan Rules – Elaine Chiosso, Ray Bode
- Brownfields Frank Stillo
- NE Chatham wastewater Stop Chatham North Liz Rolison
- Utility-scale solar farms NC Clean Energy Technology Center Simon Sandler, Steve Kalland

Established regular liaison arrangement with Planning Board

Initiated joint working group with Planning Board to review and recommend revisions to the EIA process and Conservation Subdivision Ordinance/Guidelines

achieve goals or objectives. Limit to the space provided below. visions needed to the EIA process AC involvement needed in the UDO process COMMENDED CHANGES: Please list any recommendations to the Board of Commissioners related the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to e Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
COMMENDED CHANGES: Please list any recommendations to the Board of Commissioners related the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. The recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for a pior subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. The recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
COMMENDED CHANGES: Please list any recommendations to the Board of Commissioners related the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. The recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for a por subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. The recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
the changes in the advisory committee's membership, mission, goals, objectives or other related ues. e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to a Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
e recommend that ERAC be inserted into the Environmental Impact Assessment Review Process for ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to e Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
ajor subdivisions, by reviewing the EIA and the peer review of it before the First Plat is submitted to e Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
e Planning Board. e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
e recommend changes be made to the Conservation Subdivision Ordinance to strengthen its purpose a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
a conservation tool. These include not allowing land protected under other regulatory provisions to included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
included in the Conservation Space calculation; a site review and recommendation for most valuable
•
nservation lands to be carried out by the NC Natural Heritage program; removing the density bonus
agricultural lands, allowing community gardens in Open Space (but not agriculture or silviculture)
d requiring a 50' natural perimeter buffer.
THER INFORMATION: Provide any other information or observations that the Board of
·
mmissioners should know about. Provide in the space below.
RAC should stay apprised of actions the town is taking to improve drinking water quality due to the
dustrial contaminants in its source water, the Haw River.