		WEIGH'							GHT	Samantha Cabe Leigh Peek									
	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	Base weight of "1"		RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL	RAW	FINAL
Α	а	а	а	а	а	а				plus actual points									
Α										A =6	18	3	54	2	36		0		0
	В	b	d	b	b	b													
	В									B =4	12	3	36	1	12		0		0
		С	d	е	С	С													
		С								C =2	6	2	12	2	12		0		0
			D	d	d	d													
			D							D =5	15	3	45	2	30		0		0
				E	е	е													
				E						E =3	9	2	18	2	18		0		0
					F	g													
					F					F =0	1	2	2	3	3		0		0
						G													
						G				G =1	3	3	9	2	6		0		0
							Н												
							Н			H =									
										l =									
									J										
									J	J =									
									Totals			176		117		0		0	

1. Relative weight assigned to each criterion is based on the Committee's comparison of each criterion against all others using the following values.

Examples using a 7- and 8-member committee

1 = Disagreement among committee4-34-42 = Majority of committee in agreement5-25-3 or 6-23 = Unanimous or almost unanimous agreement6-1 or 7-07-1 or 8-0

A point value of one is included as the initial basic weight for all Evaluation Criteria prior to beginning the analysis. In addition, each criterion's total points are added.

2. Proposers are rated by evaluating each proposal against the RFP requirements usi Evaluation Criteria.

Raw score resulting from this evaluation based on the following scale.

- 1 = Below
- 2 = Meets
- 3 = Above

Evaluation Criteria are listed in no particular order. The weighting process will provide relative value.

## **Evaluation Criteria**

Actual Criteria are developed by Owner for the specific project to be evaluated.

- A. Demonstrated understanding of the specifics areas of law addressed in this RFP
- B. Familiarity with Chatham Co Court system
- c. Proximity to the DSS
- **D.** Related experience
- E. Ability of the firm to meet the availability requirements
- F Successful negotiation fee
- **G** Such other appropriate information as the DSS deems advisable-Interview